



Risk Register

April 2022 - March 2023

Table of Contents

1. Introduction	3
1.1. Partneriaeth's Vision	3
1.2. Partneriaeth's Priorities:	3
1.3. Risk Evaluation Matrix	5
1.4. Impact assessment criteria	6
1.5. Probability assessment criteria	7
2. Corporate Business Risks	8
3. Index and Summary of Residual Business Risk Scores	9
3.1. Central Risks	9
3.2. Financial Risks	9
3.3. Governance Risks	10
4. Contextualisation	11
5. Central Risks	13
1. Failure to deliver the 6 priority areas of Partneriaeth's Business Plan	13
2. Failure to delivery against LA priorities included in Partneriaeth's Business Plan	14
3. Failure to support Local Authorities in relevant areas as they engage with Estyn	15
4. Data Protection	16
5. Partneriaeth found not to provide Value for Money	17
6. Financial Risks	18
1. Timeliness of Welsh Government Funding	18
2. Failure to comply with Regional Consortia School Improvement Grant (RCSIG) T&Cs	19
7. Risks associated with Governance	20
1. Lack of clarity regarding functions of Partneriaeth	20
2. Lack of Communication with all stakeholders	21
3. Partneriaeth Governance	22

1. INTRODUCTION

Partneriaeth's Risk Register contains the strategic business risks (threats) to the achievement of Partneriaeth's Vision and Aims as outlined within the Partneriaeth Business Plan.

1.1. Partneriaeth's Vision

Mission Statement

Partnership working to achieve excellence for all.

Our Aims

- 1) We lead schools and settings to design, develop and deliver a curriculum with equity and excellence at its core.
- 2) We support schools and settings to become ambitious, self-improving learning organisations.
- 3) We provide professional learning and opportunities for collaboration in order to develop strong and supportive partnerships.

1.2. Partneriaeth's Priorities:

Priority 1 – Curriculum & Assessment - Supporting a national curriculum with equity & excellence at its core that sets high standards for all learners

Priority 2 – Developing a high-quality education profession - improving the teaching & learning in our schools

Priority 3 – Leadership - supporting inspirational leaders working collaboratively to raise standards. Includes future leadership & professional networks

Priority 4 – Strong & inclusive schools committed to excellence, equity & well-being

Priority 5 – Supporting a self-improving system - supporting a system in which the education profession have the skills, capacity & agency to continually learn & improve their practice

Priority 6 – Ensure that Partneriaeth has strong governance and effective business and operational support that provides value for money

Partneriaeth's risks (threats) are scored against the risk (threats) evaluation matrix shown on page 5, using the impact and probability criteria shown on pages 6 and 7.

Partneriaeth's Risk Register is a live document which is subject to regular review by Partneriaeth's senior leadership team. New risks identified or escalated are included in the updated Partneriaeth Risk Register and is then formally reviewed on a quarterly basis by the Partneriaeth Joint Committee.

Partneriaeth risks are scored at inherent level (before any control measures are applied) and at residual level (after control measures have been applied).

Although control measures are applied, they may not be sufficient to reduce the residual score if external factors (outside of officer control) still have a high influence on the probability of the risk occurring or the impact should it occur. The heat map on page 8 shows the highest residual risks on Partneriaeth's Risk Register.

Each risk has its own table showing the inherent and residual risk score along with the tolerance for the risk.

To assist with the monitoring of changes to Partneriaeth's Risk Register between reviews,

the risk score table for each risk includes a movement column which shows if the residual risk has increased↑, decreased↓, or stayed the same⇔.Where there is no arrow icon, this process will commence from the report presented to the next Joint Committee.

The Corporate Risk Register for April 2022 - March 2023 contains 10 business risks (threats), each of which is indexed on page 9 and 10, and shown in detail on pages 13 to 22.

Risks are categorised under one of the four following groupings

1. Central Risks
2. Financial Risks
3. Risks associated with Review and Reform
4. Risks associated with Failure to respond to Covid-19

Every risk is explained in seven steps:

- Event
- Description of Risk
- Background
- Objectives at Risk
- Risk Control Measures
- Risk Owner
- Risk Scores

1.3. Risk Evaluation Matrix

Threats					
Probability	Very High	Low (4)	Medium (8)	High (12)	High (16)
	High	Low (3)	Medium (6)	Medium (9)	High (12)
	Medium	Low (2)	Low (4)	Medium (6)	Medium (8)
	Low	Low (1)	Low (2)	Low (3)	Low (4)
		Low	Medium	High	Very High
Impact					

1.4. Impact assessment criteria

(Review the risk against the following criteria, chose the one that best describes the impact and rate accordingly from 1 – 4)

Rating	Description	Financial Capital / Revenue	Political	Service / Operations
4	Very High	>40% to <100% budget	<ul style="list-style-type: none"> Political intervention required. 	<ul style="list-style-type: none"> Catastrophic fall in service quality and statutory service standards are not met. Long term interruption to service provision. Report from regulator or inspectorate requiring major project for corrective action.
3	High	>15% to <40% budget	<ul style="list-style-type: none"> Major adverse political reaction. 	<ul style="list-style-type: none"> Major impact to service quality, statutory service standards are not met, long term disruption to operations, multiple partnerships affected. Report of breach to regulator with immediate correction to be implemented.
2	Medium	>5 % to < 15 % budget	<ul style="list-style-type: none"> Significant adverse regional political reaction. 	<ul style="list-style-type: none"> Significant fall in service quality, major partnership relationships strained, serious disruption to statutory service standards. Reportable incident to regulator(s).
1	Low	< 5% budget	<ul style="list-style-type: none"> Minor adverse political reaction and complaints which are quickly remedied. 	<ul style="list-style-type: none"> Minor impact to service quality, minor statutory service standards are not met.

< = Less than

> =More than

1.5. Probability assessment criteria

(Select one of the ratings from the definitions below)

Rating	Annual Frequency			Probability	
	Description	Definition		Description	Definition
4	Very High	More than once in last 12 months		Very High	>85 % chance of occurrence
3	High	Once in last 2 years		High	>45% to <85 % chance of occurrence
2	Medium	Once in 3 years up to 10 years		Medium	>15% to < 45 % chance of occurrence
1	Low	Once in 10 years		Low	<15 % chance of occurrence

< = Less than

> =More than

2. CORPORATE BUSINESS RISKS

The heat map below summarises the highest residual risks contained on Partneriaeth's Risk Register.

High Probability	9	12
Medium Probability	6 <ul style="list-style-type: none"> • Failure to support LAs in relevant areas during their engagement with Estyn • Timeliness of Welsh Government Funding • Lack of clarity regarding functions of Partneriaeth 	8
	High Impact	Very High Impact

3. INDEX AND SUMMARY OF RESIDUAL BUSINESS RISK SCORES

3.1. Central Risks

No.	Risk	Probability	Impact	Residual Risk	Movement ↑↓↔	Page
1	Failure to deliver the 6 priority areas of Partneriaeth's Business Plan	1	4	4		13
2	Failure to deliver against LA priorities included in Partneriaeth's Business Plan	1	3	3		14
3	Failure to support LAs in relevant areas during their engagement with Estyn	2	3	6		15
4	Data Protection	1	4	4		17
5	Partneriaeth found not to provide Value for Money	1	4	4		18

3.2. Financial Risks

No.	Risk	Probability	Impact	Residual Risk	Movement ↑↓↔	Page
1	Timeliness of Welsh Government Funding	2	3	6		19
2	Failure to comply with RCSIG T&Cs	1	4	4		20

3.3. Governance Risks

No.	Risk	Probability	Impact	Residual Risk	Movement ↑↓↔	Page
1	Lack of clarity regarding functions of Partneriaeth	2	3	6		21
2	Lack of Communication with all stakeholders	1	3	3		22
3	Partneriaeth Governance	1	4	4		23

4. CONTEXTUALISATION

Partneriaeth strives to deliver a consistent school improvement service, focused on challenge and support strategies that improve teaching and learning in classrooms and lead to improved pupil attainment and progress in all schools.

Our aim is to build school capacity through support, challenge and intervention so that schools become self-improving, resilient organisations. We facilitate school led support and intervention programmes at a peer to peer, department to department and school to school level according to the area of need that has been identified within the school.

The region will build school capacity through continuing support, challenge and intervention to become self-improving, resilient organisations which continually improve outcomes for learners. This regional strategy for a self-improving system is well underway and is firmly founded in the principles of school-to-school improvement.

Partneriaeth is committed to the Welsh Language and its prosperity, and the language is an integral part of all the administrative procedures of the organisation. We consider Welsh to be a central element of the identity of the region, and we will continue to do as much as we can to promote the language and its use.

Partneriaeth is governed by a legally constituted Joint Committee whose membership is made up of relevant officers from Partneriaeth and the three partner Local Authorities, with the three Council Leaders being voting members. The Joint committee is advised by scrutiny, strategic, operational and stakeholder groups, as detailed below:

Governance Group	Members
Joint Committee	<ul style="list-style-type: none"> • 3 Leaders. Voting member. • 3 Cabinet Members for Education. These will be non-voting members. • 3 Chief Executives with a Lead Chief Executive, non-voting. • 3 Directors of Education with a Lead Director, non-voting. • Lead Officer Partneriaeth • S151 Officer and Monitoring Officer, as required. • External observers and advisers, on request – Estyn, WG, Audit Wales. • Chair of scrutiny Councillors' group, as non-voting observer – TBC
Scrutiny Councillor Group	<ul style="list-style-type: none"> • 3 Education Scrutiny Chairs • 3 Education Scrutiny Vice Chairs • 3 Directors of Education to attend together at least once per annum • Lead Officer Partneriaeth • Chair of Joint Committee to attend at least once per annum • External observers and advisers, on request
Strategic Group*	<ul style="list-style-type: none"> • 3 Directors of Education • Lead Officer Partneriaeth • 3 Partneriaeth Strategic Advisers
Operations Group**	<ul style="list-style-type: none"> • 3 local authority Lead School Improvement Officers • Lead Officer Partneriaeth • Partneriaeth Strategic Advisers, as required according to business plan priorities

Stakeholder Group	<ul style="list-style-type: none">• Lead Officer Partneriaeth• 3 Partneriaeth Senior Strategic Advisers linked to each local authority – to be appointed, we will have one linked to Swansea.• 12 headteachers covering primary, secondary, special and pupil referral unit sectors
--------------------------	---

5. CENTRAL RISKS

1. FAILURE TO DELIVER THE 6 PRIORITY AREAS OF PARTNERIAETH'S BUSINESS PLAN				
Description of Risk				
The Partneriaeth Business Plan is not delivered in its entirety and to a sufficiently high standard.				
Background				
The draft Business Plan for 2022/23 has been written and co-constructed with LA colleagues. Its structure differs from previous regional business plans with Local Authority priorities being an integral part of the plan. As Partneriaeth is funded by the Regional Consortia School Improvement Grant, the plan consists of operational delivery plans for each of the funding lines of the grant.				
Objectives at Risk				
All				
Risk Control Measures				
<ul style="list-style-type: none"> • The business plan is co-constructed by Partneriaeth officers and senior LA officers • A high level Business Plan is produced setting out main priorities and linking with LA priorities. This is supplemented by detailed operational delivery plans with specific key performance indicators • These are shared with specific stakeholders including Central Team, LA colleagues, schools and Joint Committee, which includes the detailed operational delivery plans • Each operational delivery plan will have a named member of SLT to lead on the plan, as well as named officers to deliver the plan. These will include LA officers, where appropriate • Quarterly monitoring of the business plan, including every operational delivery plan • Over-sight of every operational delivery plan by a member of Partneriaeth's SLT 				
Risk Owner				
Lead Officer, Senior Strategic Advisers, Lead Advisers				
Risk Scores				
Risk Stage	Probability	Impact	Risk Score	Movement
	(a)	(b)	(a) X (b)	↑↓↔
Inherent	3	4	12	
Residual	1	4	4	
Tolerance			4	

2. FAILURE TO DELIVERY AGAINST LA PRIORITIES INCLUDED IN PARTNERIAETH'S BUSINESS PLAN

Description of Risk

The priorities agreed with the three LAs and included in the Partneriaeth Business Plan are not supported to a sufficiently high standard.

Background

The relevant priorities of each of the three partner LAs are included in the Partneriaeth business plan. These are mapped against each operational delivery plan included in the business plan.

Objectives at Risk

All

Risk Control Measures

- Quarterly monitoring of the business plan, including every operational delivery plan
- Regular meetings between the Senior Challenge Adviser and Senior Strategic Officer linked with each LA

Risk Owner

Lead Officer, Senior Strategic Officers

Risk Scores

Risk Stage	Probability	Impact	Risk Score	Movement
	(a)	(b)	(a) X (b)	↑↓↔
Inherent	3	3	9	
Residual	1	3	3	
Tolerance			4	

3. FAILURE TO SUPPORT LOCAL AUTHORITIES IN RELEVANT AREAS AS THEY ENGAGE WITH ESTYN

Description of Risk

Partneriaeth does not provide the required support in the relevant areas to LAs as they engage with Estyn

Background

Pembrokeshire are currently in an Estyn category and have a well-established Post Inspection Action Plan (PIAP) to deliver.
Swansea Local Authority School Improvement Service will be inspected in June 2022.

Objectives at Risk

All

Risk Control Measures

- Regular meetings between the Senior Challenge Adviser and Senior Strategic Officer linked with each LA
- Partneriaeth's contribution to the delivery of Pembrokeshire's PIAP is monitored every half-term
- The 3 Senior Strategic Officers from Partneriaeth regularly attend relevant LA School Improvement meetings, such as Challenge Adviser meetings, etc. to facilitate a regular two-way conversation between relevant officers

Risk Owner

Lead Officer, Senior Strategic Officers

Risk Scores

Risk Stage	Probability	Impact	Risk Score	Movement
	(a)	(b)	(a) X (b)	↑↓↔
Inherent	3	4	12	
Residual	2	3	6	
Tolerance			4	

4. DATA PROTECTION

Description of Risk

Partneriaeth fails to comply with the Data Protection Act 2018, resulting in action from the ICO

Background

Objectives at Risk

All

Risk Control Measures

- Data Protection guidance is detailed in section 25 of the Legal Agreement (p.23)
- Data Processing Agreement to be added to Schedule 13 of the Legal Agreement
 - The Joint Committee shall appoint a Lead Council to assume responsibility for the discharge of functions on behalf of Partneriaeth, including Data Protection Officer Services
 - Data flow agreements exist between the 3 LAs and Partneriaeth
 - Agreement in place between constituent LAs and all schools across the region
 - Method, type of data and timings of exchange are detailed in the agreement
 - Partneriaeth staff use email and Sharepoint platform under the Hwb licence, provided by Welsh Government. No personal emails will be used.
 - Partneriaeth staff only use electronic device provided to them, i.e. laptop, mobile phone, tablet. No personal devices will be used.

Risk Owner

Lead Officer, Lead Chief Executive, Lead Director

Risk Scores

Risk Stage	Probability	Impact	Risk Score	Movement
	(a)	(b)	(a) X (b)	↑↓↔
Inherent	3	4	12	
Residual	1	4	4	
Tolerance			4	

5. PARTNERIAETH FOUND NOT TO PROVIDE VALUE FOR MONEY

Description of Risk

Partneriaeth is judged to not provide Value for Money (Governance and Compliance)

Background

Objectives at Risk

All

Risk Control Measures

- Schedule 4 of the Legal Agreement details the Terms of Reference for the Strategic Group (membership detailed on p.11). These include ensuring value for money within a costed business plan
- The costed business plan will be shared with Directors and presented to the Joint Committee for ratification
- Individual spending plans will be developed against every operational delivery plan, with a named senior officer having responsibility for each plan
- Actual spending will be authorised by Lead Officer, Senior Strategic Officers or Business Support Manager
- Robust evaluation and monitoring processes are in place

Risk Owner

Lead Officer, Section 151 Officer, Principal Accountant, Lead Director

Risk Scores

Risk Stage	Probability	Impact	Risk Score	Movement
	(a)	(b)	(a) X (b)	↑↓↔
Inherent	3	4	12	
Residual	1	4	4	
Tolerance			4	

6. FINANCIAL RISKS

1. TIMELINESS OF WELSH GOVERNMENT FUNDING				
Description of Risk				
WG funding may not be timely, resulting in underspend, lateness of planning, or an inability to spend at the end of the financial year.				
Background				
Objectives at Risk				
All				
Risk Control Measures				
<ul style="list-style-type: none"> Financial forward planning with contingency arrangements so that essential implementation is not hindered. Indicative figures used for initial financial planning. Constant communication with WG to improve expectation, and to improve timeliness of in-year funding 3-year indicative funding to be shared by WG 				
Risk Owner				
Section 151 Officer, Lead Banker Authority and Principal Accountant				
Risk Scores				
Risk Stage	Probability	Impact	Risk Score	Movement
	(a)	(b)	(a) X (b)	↑↓↔
Inherent	3	3	9	
Residual	2	3	6	
Tolerance			6	

2. FAILURE TO COMPLY WITH REGIONAL CONSORTIA SCHOOL IMPROVEMENT GRANT (RCSIG) T&CS

Description of Risk

Partneriaeth fails to deliver against each funding line of the Regional Consortia School Improvement Grant (RCSIG). Subsequently, Welsh Government could clawback funding.

Background

Objectives at Risk

All

Risk Control Measures

- Partneriaeth's Business Plan 2022/23, is closely aligned to the Welsh Government five priorities
- As a result, the Partneriaeth's Business Plan 2022/23, is also closely aligned to the RCSIG
- Named members of SLT, who lead on each area of the business plan to identify areas of concern regarding delivery of each operational delivery plan, linked to specific grant lines
- Quarterly monitoring of the Business Plan, resulting in early identification of areas of concern

Risk Owner

Lead Officer, LA Directors, Joint Committee

Risk Scores

Risk Stage	Probability	Impact	Risk Score	Movement
	(a)	(b)	(a) X (b)	↑↓↔
Inherent	3	4	12	
Residual	1	4	4	
Tolerance			4	

7. RISKS ASSOCIATED WITH GOVERNANCE

1. LACK OF CLARITY REGARDING FUNCTIONS OF PARTNERIAETH				
Description of Risk				
<ul style="list-style-type: none"> That the revised Partneriaeth structure does not bring sufficient clarity on the function of Partneriaeth and its central team, leading to a lack of confidence in the revised structure along with loss of trust within the profession 				
Background				
<ul style="list-style-type: none"> Under previous footprint, a perceived lack of clarity regarding the difference between the role of the LA, and the role of the region, among the teaching community 				
Objectives at Risk				
All				
Risk Control Measures				
<ul style="list-style-type: none"> Communications Strategy group established centrally with membership linked to all areas of the business plan. Consistent and ongoing dialogue is being undertaken with LA partners to ensure consistency of communication through both regional and local channels Attendance by Partneriaeth officers at LA meetings, e.g. Headteacher meetings, providing input and relevant updates 				
Risk Owner				
Lead Officer, Lead Director				
Risk Scores				
Risk Stage	Probability	Impact	Risk Score	Movement
	(a)	(b)	(a) X (b)	↑↓↔
Inherent	3	3	9	
Residual	2	3	6	
Tolerance			6	

2. LACK OF COMMUNICATION WITH ALL STAKEHOLDERS

Description of Risk

That there is not sufficient, regular communication with all stakeholders, including schools, leaders and school practitioners, as well all middle-tier and Welsh Government colleagues

Background

-

Objectives at Risk

All

Risk Control Measures

- Ongoing and effective communications provided by the Partneriaeth Team on a weekly basis
- New Partneriaeth website being populated with details of the Professional Offer and accompanying bilingual resources, including access to previously run webinars on-demand
- Regular attendance at all relevant meeting with middle-tier and Welsh Government colleagues
- Regular attendance by Partneriaeth officers at LA meetings, e.g. Headteacher meetings, providing input, relevant updates

Risk Owner

Lead Officer

Risk Scores

Risk Stage	Probability	Impact	Risk Score	Movement
	(a)	(b)	(a) X (b)	↑↓↔
Inherent	3	3	9	
Residual	1	3	3	
Tolerance			4	

3. PARTNERIAETH GOVERNANCE

Description of Risk

That Partneriaeth's operational governance arrangements do not provide transparency and confidence to the profession.

Background

Partneriaeth has adopted some enhanced strategic meetings which include a variety of key stakeholders in the decision-making process. The main aim of this change is that there is increased transparency around decision making and allocation of funding. Should these strategic meetings fail to improve transparency, there will be significant adverse effects.

Partneriaeth has a clear schedule of regular meetings of various governance groups. These include Joint Committee, Scrutiny Councillor Group, Strategic Group, Operations Group and Stakeholder. Membership includes Cabinet Members, Directors of Education, Headteachers, Partneriaeth Lead Officer, senior officers from Partneriaeth, senior LA officers.

A full list of these groups, including meeting frequency and membership is included in the Business Plan.

Objectives at Risk

All

Risk Control Measures

- Clear lines of reporting for all groups
- Regular meetings of named governance groups
- Transparency whilst sharing information with school leaders and other stakeholders

Risk Owner

Lead Chief Executive, Lead Officer, Directors of Education, Principal Accountant

Risk Scores

Risk Stage	Probability	Impact	Risk Score	Movement
	(a)	(b)	(a) X (b)	↑↓↔
Inherent	3	4	12	
Residual	1	4	4	
Tolerance			4	

